To: Myers, Craig[Myers.Craig@epa.gov]; Cristiano, Gina[Cristiano.Gina@epa.gov]; Stevenson,

Peter[Stevenson.Peter@epa.gov]; Ostrander, David[Ostrander.David@epa.gov]

From: Griswold, Hays

**Sent:** Sat 8/8/2015 3:47:03 PM

Subject: Fwd: ANOTHER analysis of the amount of water released into the Animas

Analysis of spill into Cement Creek Silverton 5August2015.xlsx

ATT00001.htm

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Paul Davis

Date: August 8, 2015 at 9:36:08 AM MDT

To: "Griswold, Hays" < Griswold. Hays@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: ANOTHER analysis of the amount of water released into the Animas

you are welcome.

I forgot to attached the spreadsheet for the estimate for the USGS Cement Creek station (see attached). Both estimates are very close. However, the Cement Creek analysis has two benefits — 1) it is closer to the spill and 2) the base flow was constant before and after the spill went through. Therefore it was easier to calculate and would be easier to explain.

Please don't hesitate to ask if there is anything I can do to help

Sincerely,

Paul Davis

ps - if my numbers are confirmed and you need to publicly revise your original estimate I have no problem stating for the record that your estimate was reasonable and appropriate for the time you published it.